UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,438	04/20/2004	David Gast	200316296-1	8782
	7590 12/17/200 CKARD COMPANY	7	EXAMINER	
	00, 3404 E. HARMON		MARINI, MATTHEW G	
	ECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/829,438	GAST ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Matthew G. Marini	2854		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence addre	ess	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) I e, cause the application to becom	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 C</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final.	• •	nerits is	
Dienositi	on of Claims		·		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-16,18-23,25-37,39-47 and 49</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-16,18-23,25-37,39-47 and 49</u> are s	wn from consideration.	•		
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	cepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

10/829,438 Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, 18-23, and 25-27, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 399, subclass 389.
- II. Claims 28-37 and 39, drawn to a method, classified in class 399, subclass389.
- III. Claims 40-47, and 49, drawn to a computer readable medium, classified in class 399, subclass 389.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process: (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by hand. The tray can be provided, moving the tray manually between two positions, and scanning the subpatterns found on the paper by the user's eye, where the user then can decipher the image data from the sub-patterns for each subset of sheets on the stack. The Examiner would also like to point out that currently there is no positive step of providing the media stack onto the tray. Therefore, the act of scanning the sub-patterns from the media and deciphering the data thereof would not be capable of being performed and would not be given any patentable weight.

10/829,438 Art Unit: 2854

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Group I inherently contains a computer readable medium for controlling the operations of the apparatus as broadly recited, but does not contain the particulars of the computer readable medium as laid out in claim 40. The subcombination has separate utility such as a device having a computer readable medium having instructions for operating a sensor, a tray and transport mechanism, where the sensor scans a pattern from a media stack containing subset of sheets; the sub-patterns corresponding to those subsets, and where the data scanned is deciphered according to the logic defined by the computer readable medium. Group I only requires a tray, sensor and transport mechanism, where inherently a computer medium is broadly taught.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

10/829,438 Art Unit: 2854

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by hand. The tray can be provided, moving the tray manually between two positions, and scanning the subpatterns found on the paper by the user's eye, where the user can then decipher the image data from the sub-patterns for each subset of sheets on the stack. The Examiner would also like to point out that currently there is no positive step of providing the media stack onto the tray. Therefore, the act of scanning the sub-patterns from the media and deciphering the data thereof would not be capable of being performed and would not be given any patentable weight.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

10/829,438 Art Unit: 2854

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew G. Marini whose telephone number is (571)-272-2676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571)-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/829,438 Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew Marini

12/4/07

RENYAN PRIMARY EXAMINER